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• 0.25°, 0.5°, 1°, 2°, T31 resolution
• 30 minute time step
• 26 atmosphere levels
• 60 ocean levels
• 15 ground layers
• ~1.5 million lines of computer code
>1500 Registered Users of CESM1.0

>2.4 PB of model data downloaded since January 2008
Community Land Model (CLM)
Incorporating Urban Areas into CLM
Community Land Model Urban (CLMU)
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SIMMER – Exploring interactions between urbanization, heat stress (HS), and climate change

- Investigate present-day and projected mid-21st century rural and urban summer HS and examine the effects of idealized urban density types (medium, high, and tall building district) on HS
- WRF used to downscale a CESM 20th century and a IPCC AR5 RCP8.5 ensemble member to provide a consistent set of atmospheric forcing variables (CLM run in offline mode)
- 1/8th degree simulations for 1986-2005 and 2046-2065
- HS assessed using T alone but also heat indices
  - NWS Heat Index (T, RH)
  - Apparent Temperature (T, VP, U)
  - Simplified Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (T, VP)
  - Humidex (T, VP)
  - Discomfort Index (T, RH)
- Heat indices calculated online for rural and urban surfaces

Oleson et al. 2013, Climatic Change
The UHI effect increases with increases in urban density (nighttime UHI is 4.3°C, 4.8°C, 6.5°C for MD, HD, and TBD).

Despite lower urban humidity at night, UHI as indicated by the Heat Index is larger than for temperature alone (nighttime Heat Index UHI is 5.2°C, 5.8°C, and 7.5°C for MD, HD, and TBD).

Medium density (MD); High Density (HD); Tall Building District (TBD)

* Climatological (1971-2000) daily Tmax/Tmin from Environment Canada weather station (WMO 71266)
High heat stress days and nights occur more frequently in urban than rural areas and more frequently at night (e.g., urban has 9 days with $T_{\text{max}}$ above 33°C but 18 nights with $T_{\text{min}}$ above 23°C).

- Present-day (PD)
  - High heat stress days and nights occur more frequently in urban than rural areas and more frequently at night (e.g., urban has 9 days with $T_{\text{max}}$ above 33°C but 18 nights with $T_{\text{min}}$ above 23°C).

- Mid-century (MC)
  - As indicated by temperature alone, climate change increases the number of high heat stress days and nights in both rural and urban areas (i.e., rural has 12 and 13 more high heat stress days and nights; urban has 16 and 28 more high heat stress days and nights).
  - Urban high heat stress nights are amplified for the NWS HI compared to temperature alone (urban has 46 nights as defined by air temperature and 60 as defined by NWS HI).
Heatwaves defined following Meehl and Tebaldi (2004) and Gao et al. (2012).
### Average number of summer days in each heat stress index category

#### Daily Maximum

**Medium Density Urban Toronto**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Stress Index</th>
<th>Present-day Urban</th>
<th>Mid-century Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NWS Heat Index</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Caution</td>
<td>Extreme Caution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&gt; 80°F (26.7°C)</td>
<td>&gt;90°F (32.2°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present-day Urban</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-century Urban</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Humidex</strong> (Masterson and Richardson 1979)</th>
<th>Some Discomfort</th>
<th>Great Discomfort</th>
<th>Dangerous</th>
<th>Imminent Heat Stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>□ 30°C</td>
<td>□ 40°C</td>
<td>□ 46°C</td>
<td>□ 54°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present-day Urban</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-century Urban</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Discomfort Index</strong> (Epstein and Moran 2006)</th>
<th>No Heat Stress</th>
<th>Mild Sensation of Heat</th>
<th>Moderately Heavy Heat Load</th>
<th>Severe Heat Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&lt; 22 units</td>
<td>□ 22 units</td>
<td>&gt;24 units</td>
<td>&gt; 28 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present-day Urban</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-century Urban</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oleson et al. 2013, Climatic Change
Human thermal comfort depends on environmental and behavioral factors – energy balance

Havenith 2003
Future Work - Humans in CESM/CLM

Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI; utci.org)

- Thermal strain index calculated by PCA as a one-dimensional representation of the multi-dimensional dynamic response of the physiological model.
- UTCI equivalent temperature for given combination of wind, radiation, humidity and air temperature is defined as the air temperature in the reference environment, which produces the same strain index value.

Bröde et al. 2013
Conclusions

- Urban areas should be modeled explicitly in climate models given that urban climate is quite different from rural climate and more than half of the world’s population lives in urban areas.

- Climate models should consider other aspects of heat stress other than just temperature.

- Furthermore, we need to move beyond simple diagnostic heat stress indices and consider more state-of-the-art indicators of heat stress that have close relationships with the physiological response of humans.
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Caveats and Limitations

- **Complexity of cities reduced to three urban landunits**
  - Inadequacies of the urban canyon model in representing complex urban surfaces both within a city and between cities

- **Coarse spatial resolution**
  - Mesoscale features not captured (heat island circulation)
  - Urban and rural areas forced by same climate (no boundary layer heat island or pollution, or precipitation differences)
  - Individual cities generally not resolved, urban areas are highly averaged representation of individual cities
  - Urban fluxes affect only local, not regional/global climate (minimal feedbacks)

- **Future urban form and function**
  - Also not addressed are how urban areas will change to accommodate overall growth in population and the projected increase in urban dwellers and how this will affect and interact with the climate and heat stress in cities

- **Energy demand**
  - The heating, air conditioning, and wasteheat fluxes in the model are highly simplified representations of these processes (ignore windows, building ventilation, diversity of HAC systems). We also ignore other sources of anthropogenic heat such as those due to internal heat gains (e.g., lighting, appliances, people), traffic, human metabolism, as well as anthropogenic latent heat.
CLMU Publications

Urban Data
Global Urban Characteristics Dataset

Global Regions

Urban Extent - Landscan 2004

Urban Properties – Compilation of building databases
Morphological
- Building Height
- H/W ratio
- Pervious fraction
- Roof fraction

Radiative – Roof/Wall/Road
- Albedo
- Emissivity

Thermal – Roof/Wall/Road
- Conductivity
- Heat Capacity

Interior temperature settings (HAC)

T. Jackson, J. Feddema, et al. 2010
Urban properties

Global Canyon H/W

Tall Building District

Built-up roof of asphalt-based materials (e.g., felt, bitumen) over insulated cellular concrete deck.

$19^\circ C < T_{i,B} < 27^\circ C$


Concrete over soil

$1 - f_{perv} = 0.87$

$f_{perv} = 0.13$

$W = 25 \text{ m; } H/W = 4$

$H = 100 \text{ m}$
Global Results
The majority of the world’s population now lives in urban areas. This is where they feel the effects of climate change. Until recently, global climate change simulations have failed to account for urban areas.

“Those regions with the higher cumulative impact of climate change and urban effects are...also projected to at least double their urban populations by 2050” (McCarthy et al. 2010)

It is important to consider the additional urban warmth as well as how climate change and urban areas might interact.

McCarthy et al. 2010
Present Day Urban Energy Balance and Heat Island

Annual Average Diurnal Cycle

- Urban area stores more heat during daytime and releases heat at night resulting in nighttime heat island
- Urban has lower latent heat due to impervious surfaces which contributes to heat island

Average Heat Island (°C)

Spatial/seasonal variability in the heat island caused by urban to rural contrasts in energy balance and response of these surfaces to seasonal cycle of climate
Mitigation – White Roofs

JJA average diurnal cycle
40.7N, 287.5E

Urban compared to Rural in the control simulation (CON: solid red/blue lines):
- Available energy partitioned into more storage and less latent heat
- Stored heat released at night
- Warmer urban temperatures, particularly at night

Effects of white roofs (ALB-C0N: red lines):
- CON Albedo = 0.32
- Reduce daytime available energy, storage, and sensible heat
- Cools daytime temperatures more than nighttime temperatures
- Cooler daily mean temperature (-0.5°C)
Simpliﬁed Wet-bulb Globe Temperature: \( W = 0.567T + 0.393e + 3.94 \)
(Willett and Sherwood 2011)

A 2°C warming yields larger W increases if humidity is high and/or temperature is high.

Frequency of rural and urban high-heat-stress nights and days at 1xCO2 and 2xCO2:
Number of days per year with Wmin and Wmax exceeding the present-day rural Wmin$^{99}_{1xCO2}$ and Wmax$^{99}_{1xCO2}$

- At 1xCO2, high-heat-stress nights are substantially higher in urban areas
- 2xCO2 leads to substantially more high-heat-stress nights and days
- Despite similar urban-rural response of W to 2xCO2, the frequency increase of urban high-heat-stress nights can substantially exceed that in rural areas, a consequence of the non-linearity in the exceedance frequency.
- Despite weaker overall warming in tropical Africa, occurrence of high-heat-stress nights and days increases strongly, a consequence of small temperature seasonal cycle and low synoptic variability.
More SIMMER Results
JJA 1986-2005 Houston (29.52-30.02N, 264.4-264.9E)

- The UHI effect increases with increases in urban density (nighttime UHI is 0.9°C, 1.9°C, 3.7°C for MD, HD, and TBD)
- The urban relative humidity is lower than rural, particularly at night
- Despite lower urban humidity, UHI as indicated by the Heat Index is larger than for temperature alone, particularly at night when humidity is high (nighttime Heat Index UHI is 1.7°C, 3.3°C, and 6.0°C for MD, HD, and TBD)

Medium density (MD); High Density (HD); Tall Building District (TBD)
* Climatological (1981-2010) daily Tmax/Tmin from weather station at Houston Bush Intercontinental Airport (GHCND:USW00012960; NOAA NCDC 2012)
Effects of Urban Density and AHF on UHI

CLM forced by NLDAS (1990-2009)

Urban – Rural MIN Air Temp

DJF

VANC_NOHAC 0.4  VANC_HAC 0.9  VANC_HACWST 1.2  JACK_MD 1.4  JACK_HD 2.0  JACK_TBD 4.1

JJA

VANC_NOHAC 1.1  VANC_HAC 1.1  VANC_HACWST 1.3  JACK_MD 1.2  JACK_HD 1.7  JACK_TBD 3.3
**Present-day (PD) and Mid-century (MC) High Heat Stress Days and Nights**

Number of days per summer with HI\text{min} and HI\text{max} exceeding the PD RURAL HI\text{min95} and HI\text{max95}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HI\text{max95} [°C(F)]</th>
<th>HI\text{min95} [°C(F)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>42 (108)</td>
<td>30 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>38 (100)</td>
<td>30 (86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- High heat stress days and nights occur more frequently in urban than rural areas.
- Urban high heat stress occurs more frequently at night (e.g., urban Phoenix has 20 nights with HI\text{min} above 30°C and 12 days with HI\text{max} above 42°C).

**Present-day**

**Mid-century**

- Climate change significantly increases the number of high heat stress days and nights in both rural and urban areas, particularly in Houston (e.g., rural Houston has 59 days with HI\text{max} above 38°C and 54 nights above 30°C; urban Houston has 77 days with HI\text{max} above 38°C and 76 nights with HI\text{min} above 30°C).
HHS days and nights occur more frequently in urban than rural areas.

Urban/rural contrast in heat stress is more pronounced at night (e.g., urban Phoenix has 27 nights with Tmin above 31°C and 15 days with Tmax above 45°C).

Climate change significantly increases the number of HHS days and nights in both rural and urban areas, particularly in Houston (e.g., rural Houston has 21 days above 37°C and 48 nights above 27°C; urban Houston has 53 days above 37°C and 78 nights above 27°C).

HHS days and nights defined from the NWS Heat Index differs from that using temperature alone:

- In Phoenix, number of urban HHS days/night decreases from 16/26 to 12/20.
- In Houston, urban HHS days for Houston increase from 53 to 77 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°C (°F)</th>
<th>Tmax95</th>
<th>Tmin95</th>
<th>Hlmax95</th>
<th>Hlmin95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>45 (113)</td>
<td>31 (88)</td>
<td>42 (108)</td>
<td>30 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>37 (99)</td>
<td>27 (81)</td>
<td>38 (100)</td>
<td>30 (86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Average number of summer days in each heat stress index category - Toronto

**PD:** Present-day, **MC:** Mid-century

#### 2-m Air Temperature (Smith et al. 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>PD Urban</th>
<th>MC Urban</th>
<th>Very Hot</th>
<th>Extremely Hot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&gt; 85&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
<td>&gt; 90&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
<td>&gt; 95&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
<td>&gt; 95&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Apparent Temperature (Smith et al. 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>PD Urban</th>
<th>MC Urban</th>
<th>Very Hot</th>
<th>Extremely Hot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&gt; 85&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
<td>&gt; 90&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
<td>&gt; 95&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
<td>&gt; 95&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile PD Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NWS Heat Index (Smith et al. 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Caution</th>
<th>Extreme Caution</th>
<th>Danger</th>
<th>Extreme Danger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&gt; 80°F (26.7°C)</td>
<td>&gt;90°F (32.2°C)</td>
<td>&gt;105°F (40.6°C)</td>
<td>&gt;130°F (54.4°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Urban</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC Urban</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Humidex (Masterson and Richardson 1979)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Some Discomfort</th>
<th>Great Discomfort</th>
<th>Dangerous</th>
<th>Imminent Heat Stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>□ 30°C</td>
<td>□ 40°C</td>
<td>□ 46°C</td>
<td>□ 54°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Urban</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC Urban</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Simplified Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (Willett and Sherwood 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Very High</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&gt;28°C</td>
<td>&gt;32°C</td>
<td>&gt;35°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Urban</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC Urban</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Discomfort Index (Epstein and Moran 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No Heat Stress</th>
<th>Mild Sensation of Heat</th>
<th>Moderately Heavy Heat Load</th>
<th>Severe Heat Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&lt; 22 units</td>
<td>□ 22 units</td>
<td>&gt;24 units</td>
<td>&gt;28 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Urban</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC Urban</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Average number of summer days in each heat stress index category - Houston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2-m Air Temperature (Smith et al. 2013)</th>
<th>Apparent Temperature (Smith et al. 2013)</th>
<th>NWS Heat Index (Smith et al. 2013)</th>
<th>Humidex (Masterson and Richardson 1979)</th>
<th>Simplified Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (Willett and Sherwood 2012)</th>
<th>Discomfort Index (Epstein and Moran 2006)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot</td>
<td>Very Hot</td>
<td>Danger</td>
<td>Some Discomfort</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No Heat Stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>&gt; 85&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile</td>
<td>&gt; 90&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile</td>
<td>&gt; 105°F (40.6°C)</td>
<td>30°C</td>
<td>&gt;28°C</td>
<td>Mild Sensation of Heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Urban</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>Moderately Heavy Heat Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC Urban</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Severe Heat Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day/4 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- PD Urban: Predicted Data
- MC Urban: Model Calculated Data
- Thresholds are based on statistical percentiles of temperature and humidity data.
- Discomfort indexes are adapted from Epstein and Moran (2006) and others.
Human thermal comfort depends on environmental and behavioral factors – energy balance

\[ M + W + Q^* + Q_H + Q_L + Q_{SW} + Q_{Re} + S = 0 \]

- M: Metabolic Rate
- W: Muscular Activity
- Q*: Radiation
- Q_H: Sensible Heat
- Q_L: Diffusion Water Vapor
- Q_{SW}: Sweat Evaporation
- Q_{Re}: Respiration
- S: Body Heat Storage

Normal Core temperature – 37.0°C
Heat Exhaustion Core temperature – 38.5°C
Heat Stroke Core temperature – 41.5°C

Havenith 2003
Multi-node model of human thermoregulation
(Fiala et al. 2012)
The mean radiant temperature, in relation to a given person placed in a given environment, in a given body posture and clothing, is defined as that uniform temperature of a fictive black-body radiation enclosure (emission coefficient = 1) which would result in the same net radiation energy exchange with the subject as the actual, more complex radiation environment.

\[
S_{str} = a_l \sum F_i E_i + a_k \sum F_i D_i + a_k f_p I^* \quad \leftrightarrow \quad S_{str} = a_l \cdot \sigma \cdot T_{mrt}^4
\]

Kantor and Unger 2011
Evaluation against Observations
Evaluation – Flux Tower Sites and Model Intercomparison

International Urban Energy Balance Model Comparison (Grimmond et al. 2010);

Aug 2003 – Nov 2004 Suburban (Preston) Melbourne, Australia

Net Radiation

Sensible Heat

Latent Heat
WRF, CCSM4, WRF-NLDAS Atmospheric Forcing

JJA 1986-2005

Longwave Radiation (W m\(^{-2}\))

Solar Radiation (W m\(^{-2}\))

Rain (mm day\(^{-1}\))

RSME = 14.6, R = 0.95
RSME = 27.6, R = 0.68
RSME = 1.3, R = 0.57

RAIN: NARCCAP-OBS (Mearns et al. 2012) Model Range: RMSE = 0.57-1.53 mm day\(^{-1}\), R = 0.70-0.82
WRF, CCSM4, WRF-NLDAS Atmospheric Forcing

JJA 1986-2005

Atmospheric Air Temp (°C)

RSME = 2.0, R = 0.93

WRF (30m)

CCSM4 (60m)

WRF-NLDAS

Atmospheric RH (%)

RSME = 8.6, R = 0.91

WRF (30m) - NLDAS (2m)

Atmospheric Air Temp (°C)

2-m Air Temp (°C)

RSME = 1.8°C, R = 0.94

WRF

CCSM4

WRF-NLDAS

2-m Temp: NARCCAP-OBS (Mearns et al. 2012) Model Range: RMSE = 1.7-3.6 °C R = 0.93-0.97
Observed daily Tmax and Tmin are obtained from 5,332 network stations of the quality controlled National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) US COOP, as documented by Meehl et al. (2009)

- For Tmin, WRF-CLM4 has significantly smaller biases in 15 states, larger biases in two states, and no significantly different biases in 26 states compared to CCSM4-CLM4.
- For Tmax, WRF-CLM4 has significantly smaller biases in 13 states, larger biases in 17 states, and no significantly different biases in 16 states.
Observed daily Tmax and Tmin are obtained from 5,332 network stations of the quality controlled National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) US COOP, as documented by Meehl et al. (2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>CLM</th>
<th>NCDC</th>
<th>CLM-NCDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alabama</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>23.11</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arkansas</td>
<td>24.91</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arizona</td>
<td>25.36</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>california</td>
<td>22.64</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colorado</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connecticut</td>
<td>23.01</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Model bias in heatwave intensity ranges from -0.5 to 5.3°C with a state average absolute bias of 1.3°C.
• Bias in duration ranges from -3.0 to 2.9 days/event with an average bias of 1 day/event.
• Bias in frequency ranges from -0.28 to 0.06 events/year with an average bias of 0.04 events/year.

### Intensity (°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>CLM</th>
<th>NCDC</th>
<th>CLM-NCDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alabama</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arkansas</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arizona</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>california</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colorado</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connecticut</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Duration (days/event)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>CLM</th>
<th>NCDC</th>
<th>CLM-NCDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alabama</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arkansas</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arizona</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>california</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colorado</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connecticut</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frequency (events/year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>CLM</th>
<th>NCDC</th>
<th>CLM-NCDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alabama</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arkansas</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arizona</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>california</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colorado</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connecticut</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remote Sensing – Sfc. UHI Relationship to Ecological Setting

FE – Temperate broadleaf and mixed forest (northern)
FA – Temperate broadleaf and mixed forest (southern)
GN – Temperate grasslands, savannas, and shrublands
DE – Desert and xeric shrublands
MS – Mediterranean forests, woodlands, shrub (California)
GS – Temperate grasslands, savannas, and shrublands (Texas)
GT – Tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas, and shrublands (Houston, New Orleans)
FW – Temperate coniferous forest (Oregon, Washington)

CLMU Daily Average Surface UHI (°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3/C4 Grass</td>
<td>4.7/4.8</td>
<td>2.8/1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Ground</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDS</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CESM
Knutti, Masson, Gettelman, GRL, 2013

“…strong caveat … linking model performance metrics to model quality or skill is difficult, subjective, and strongly metric dependent.”
CESM Governance Structure
Community Earth System Model (CESM1)

Core is a Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere-Land-Sea Ice model (CCSM4)

- 0.25°, 0.5°, 1°, 2°, T31 resolutions
- 30 minute time step
- 26 atmosphere levels
- 60 ocean levels
- 15 ground layers
- ~5 million grid boxes at 1°
- ~1.5 million lines of computer code
- Archive data (monthly, daily, hourly) for hundreds of geophysical fields (over 250 in land model alone)
UHI
Processes contributing to the Urban Heat Island

- Increased shortwave absorption due to trapping inside urban canyon (lower albedo)
- Decreased surface longwave radiation loss due to reduction of sky view factor
- Reduction of ET due to replacement of vegetation with impervious surfaces
- Increased storage of heat due to larger heat capacity of urban materials
- Reduced turbulent transfer of heat due to reduced wind within canyon
- Anthropogenic sources of heat (heating, air conditioning, wasteheat, traffic, human metabolism)

For more information see papers by Tim Oke and colleagues

Image courtesy of Heat Island Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
The Urban Heat Island (UHI)

- The UHI is defined as the relative warmth of a city compared to the surrounding “rural” areas.
- Typically quantified as the urban air or surface temperature minus the rural air/surface temperature.
- Average air UHI for a mid-latitude city is 1°-3°C but may reach up to 12°C at night under optimal conditions.

Beijing
(A) MODIS data derived land cover/use
(B) Landsat ETM+ true color image with spatial resolution 30 m × 30 m in August, 2005
(C) annual mean daytime land surface temperature (LST) (°C)
(D) annual mean nighttime LST (°C).

Source: Peng et al, 2012, EST