CLIMATE CHANGE AND HAZARDOUS CONVECTIVE WEATHER IN THE UNITED STATES: INSIGHT FROM HIGH-RESOLUTION DYNAMICAL DOWNSCALING

GEWEX WORKSHOP

Kimberly Hoogewind^{1,2}, Jeff Trapp², and Mike Baldwin¹

¹ Purdue University

² University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign September 7, 2016









Earth

Atmosphe

Planetary

Sciences

INTRODUCTION

HAZARDOUS CONVECTIVE WEATHER (HCW)

- Severe thunderstorm (Significant Severe)
 - Tornado (F/EF2+)
 - Wind ≥ 50 knots (**≥65 knots**)
 - Hail ≥ 1" (≥ 2 inches)



- Hazards associated with severe convection have important social and economic impacts
 - Risk to life and property
- *Q: How might severe thunderstorm activity respond to anthropogenic climate change ?*



May 31, 1998 Derecho



UNIVERSITY

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

APPLICATION TO GLOBAL CLIMATE MODEL PROJECTIONS

- Convective parameters, namely convective available potential energy (CAPE) and 0-6 km vertical wind shear (S06)
- *NDSEV* = CAPE * S06 ≥ X (X is usually 10,000 or 20,000)
- Many recent studies
 - \uparrow mean CAPE, \downarrow mean S06, \uparrow NDSEV

Limitations

- Storms must be initiated in order to realize environment/CAPE!
 - Neglects "lift" ingredient
- Must assume that "efficiency" of environment remains the same in future climate
- Environments are an overestimate in occurrence and coverage
- Unable to infer risk for individual hazard type due to environment overlap

Alternative approach

- High-resolution dynamical downscaling
 - Use IC/BC from GCM to drive high-resolution (~4 km) convection-permitting model
 - <u>Let the model develop relationship between environmental</u> <u>conditions and events</u>



DYNAMICAL DOWNSCALING

PREVIOUS WORK WITH DOWNSCALING HCW

- High-resolution, convection-allowing (~4 km) WRF simulations (reanalysis/GCM)
- Reasonably recreate observed climatology using a model proxy
- Gensini and Mote (2015) downscaled CCSM3 for future climate





RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

Build upon literature

- A longer term (~30 year) climatology of historical and future synthetic severe climatologies from GCMs
- Simulate entire annual cycle
- What do we gain by downscaling?
 - Are we getting same story as environment approach?

Outline:

- 1. Data and Methods
- 2. GCM environment changes
- 3. Downscaled estimates of HCW
- 4. Comparing approaches
 - i.e. relationship between environment response and storms produced via dynamical downscaling



GCM SELECTION

GFDL-CM3

- Coupled atmosphere-ocean model
- 2° x 2.5° lat/lon grid (~200 km)
- model top of 1 hPa, 48 vertical levels
- *High-performing GCM* compared to NCEP-NCAR reanalysis and radiosonde observations for simulated CIN, CAPE and NDSEV
 - Diffenbaugh et al. 2013; Seeley and Romps 2015
- Historical and RCP8.5 experiments
 - Member r1i1p1

RCM MODEL SETUP

REGIONAL CLIMATE MODEL

- WRF-ARW version 3.6
 - CONUS domain
 - 4 km horizontal grid spacing
 - 45 vertical levels, 50 hPa model top
- Two time-slices
 - Historical baseline:1971-2000
 - Future: 2071-2100
- Hourly output
- Post processed with NCEP Unified
 Post Processor (>250 variables)
- Converted to GRIB2
- ~65-70 TB for 60 years of simulations

	Parameterizations				
Microphysics	Thompson (Thompson et al. 2008)				
Radiation (LW/SW)	RRTM/Dudhia (Mlawer et al. 1997/Dudhia 1989)				
Land surface	Noah (Chen and Dudhia 2001)				
Planetary Boundary Layer	MYNN (Nakanishi and Niino 2004, 2006)				
	Model Parameters				
Horizontal grid spacing	4 km				
Domain size	799 x 1149 grid points				
Vertical levels	45				
Time step	adaptive				
Buffer zone	10 grid points				
Initial/Boundary Conditions					
Temperature, specific humidity, geopotential height, u and v wind, surface pressure	Surface, near-surface, 40 isobaric levels; 6-h intervals				
Soil temperature, soil moisture	0-10, 10-40, 40-100, 100-200 cm				
Land use/land cover	USGS 30" with lake category				

INTEGRATION PROCEDURE

Daily 0600 UTC re-initialization

- 30 hour integration, first 6 forecast hours discarded due to spin-up
- Runs valid 1200-1200 UTC
- Not widely used in downscaling future climate; more common with reanalyses to retain sequence of observed weather events (e.g. Trapp et al. 2011, Robinson et al. 2013)
- Hong and Kanamitsu (2014) advocate for the frequent re-initialization or spectral nudging approach to limit error growth within the domain

<u>Advantages</u>

- Generate mesoscale details and still preserve consistency of large-scales between RCM and GCM
- Allows for parallelism of simulations

Disadvantages

- Discontinuous across re-initialization point
- Boundaries from previous convection not carried over
- Long memory processes not accounted for (e.g. soil moisture)
 - Secondary importance to atmospheric forcing (Pan et al. 1999)
 - Assuming these adequately handled by GCM

ANNUAL ANOMALIES (% CHANGE RELATIVE TO 1971-2000 MEAN)

- Projected changes in environments favorable for HCW
- NDSEV_{sig} = CAPE x S06 ≥ 20,000
 - CAPE ≥ 100 J kg⁻¹
 - S06 ≥ 5 m s⁻¹
 - CIN ≥ -100 J kg⁻¹
 - Interpolated to 1° lat/lon grid
 - occurs when threshold is met at anytime between1200-1200 UTC

-CONUS regional mean (land points only) -Smoothed with Gaussian filter (σ =5 years)

SEVERE ENVIRONMENT DAYS

FUTURE CHANGES

30

24

18

12

6

0

-6

-12

-18

-24

-30

30

24

18

12

6

0

-6

-12

-18

-24

-30

J F,

ТҮ

S

ER

PROBABILITY BY CALENDAR DAY

ITY

GCM ENVIRONMENT

SUMMARY

- Like other GCMS, GFDL CM3 depicts:
 - ↑ sfc temperature, specific humidity
 - \uparrow CAPE, CIN
 - ↓ S06 (concentrated on days with lower CAPE)
 - $\uparrow \mathsf{NDSEV}_{\mathsf{sig}}$
- Changes largely a result of robust increases in CAPE
- Overall "season" is lengthened
- Other parameters show marked increase also (e.g., STP, SCP, EHI)

 $JJA \Delta q$

 $\textbf{JJA} \ \boldsymbol{\triangle} \textbf{CAPE}$

DOWNSCALING RESULTS

IDENTIFYING A SIMULATED STORM

- Cannot explicitly simulate severe hazards at 4 km grid spacing, so we must use a model proxy
- Hourly maximum updraft helicity (UH)
 - Mid-level mesocyclone detection
 - Commonly used in short term severe storm forecasting
 - 50 m² s⁻² minimum threshold (~99.995 percentile)

$$UH = \int_{2km}^{5km} w \zeta dz$$

 Focus on proxy "day" occurrences tallied within 1° lat/lon bounding boxes

--"Yes" if any grid point within lat/lon bounding box exceeds the specified threshold at anytime over the 24-hour period (1200-1200 UTC)

SEASONAL CHANGES

CHANGES IN DAYS WITH UH > 50 m² s⁻²

SEASONAL CHANGES

CHANGES IN DAYS WITH UPDRAFT VERTICAL VELOCITY > 20 m s⁻¹

PROBABILITY BY CALENDAR

GCM VS. RCM

17

	DJF	MAM	JJA	SON	
Historical	0.888	0.912	0.895	0.784	
future	0.983	0.930	0.947	0.865	

GCM VS. RCM

MONTHLY MEAN NDSEV $_{\rm SIG}$ DAYS VS. MONTHLY MEAN UH DAYS

ТҮ

- This study produced high-resolution, dynamically downscaled simulations from GFDL-CM3
 - 1. 2 30-yr periods (1971-2000 and 2071-2100 (RCP8.5))
 - 2. Entire annual cycle captured
 - 3. Insight into the storm-scale response to changes in ambient environmental conditions
- Consistent agreement between GCM and RCM in terms of areas of increased/decreased days of activity
 - The "when and where", but environments alone cannot infer the "how much"
- Changes in environment efficiency between historical and future periods
 - Addresses initiation problem
 Environment-event relationship has weakened
 - Justifies downscaling approach
- Cause(s)?
 - Weakening circulation
 - Chang (2012) found reduction in extratropical cyclones in all seasons (e.g. -24.5% in JJA)
 - Coumou (2015) decrease in JJA eddy kinetic energy
 - Increased CIN

19

ONGOING/FUTURE WORK

- Hazard type
 - Hail, wind, tornadoes
- Variability and sub-daily frequency of HCW
- Convective mode
 - object based approaches
- Perform continuously integrated simulations to compare

THANK YOU! QUESTIONS?

"Essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful."

Box, G. E. P. and N. R. Draper, 1987: Empirical Model-Building and Response Surfaces, p. 424, Wiley.

khoogewi@illinois.edu

Committee:

Mike Baldwin, Purdue Jeff Trapp, Univ. of Illinois Urbana-Champaign Harold Brooks, NSSL

Computing:

Purdue RCAC Dan Dietz Stephen Harrell Lev Gorenstein Preston Smith

