



# Introduction

In order to appropriately plan future projects to build and maintain infrastructure (e.g., dams, dikes, highways, airports), a number of U.S. federal agencies seek to better understand how hydrologic regimes may shift across the country due to climate change. Building on the successful completion of high-resolution WRF simulations over the Colorado River Headwaters and contiguous USA, our team is now extending these simulations over the challenging U.S. States of Alaska and Hawaii. Here we summarize preliminary results from a newly completed 4-km resolution WRF simulation over Alaska spanning 2002-2015 (Fig.1). Our aim is to gain insight into key precipitation processes, particularly the extremes that are most damaging to infrastructure.



Fig. 1. 4-km WRF domain. Ongoing validation employs surface observations (sites shown on map) and gridded products including the Scenarios Network for Alaska and Arctic Planning (SNAP) temperature and precipitation grids.

## WRF Configuration

The model was forced by the ERA-Interim reanalysis, with sea surface temperature and sea ice fraction fields provided by the 0.01 degree Multi-scale Ultra-high Resolution (MUR) SST analysis (NASA JPL). See Table 1 for parameterizations.

Parameterization name	Option	Option #
Cloud microphysics	Thompson	8
Longwave radiation	RRTMG	4
Shortwave radiation	RRTMG	4
Surface layer	MM5 Similarity	91
Surface	Noah MP	4
Lake	FLAKE	1
Planetary boundary layer	YSU	1
Cumulus	Off	0

Table 1. WRF physics options.

# High resolution regional climate simulations over Alaska

A. Monaghan<sup>1</sup>, M. Clark<sup>1</sup>, J. Arnold<sup>2</sup>, A. Newman<sup>1</sup>, K. Musselman<sup>1</sup>, M. Barlage<sup>1</sup>, L. Xue<sup>1</sup>, C. Liu<sup>1</sup>, E. Gutmann<sup>1</sup> and R. Rasmussen<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>-National Center for Atmospheric Research, <sup>2</sup>-US Army Corps of Engineers



Fig. 2. 2002-2009 annual (left) and winter (right) precipitation for WRF (top), SNAP (middle) and the difference (bottom). Winter = Oct-Mar.



Fig. 3. As in Fig. 2, but for the coefficient of variation (st.dev/mean).

record indicates that some events are equivalent to about 75% of the average precipitation in winter (e.g., in the Wrangell Mountains). Considering that many events last for more than 24 hours, multi-day precipitation events (not yet analyzed) likely comprise a much greater fraction of total precipitation.



Fig. 5. 2002-2015 annual (left) and winter (right) average maximum daily precipitation (top), and greatest 1-day precipitation event during the 13 year period (bottom), both expressed as a percentage of the average total annual or winter precipitation.







## Conclusions

WRF simulations over Alaska with 4-km resolution offer insight into key hydrologic processes.

Preliminary evaluation versus SNAP and SNOTEL (not shown) suggests WRF accurately resolves the spatiotemporal characteristics of solid and liquid precipitation.

The dataset is particularly well suited for examining the characteristics of extreme precipitation events.

• Next steps: a comprehensive evaluation, writing a publication examining historical extreme snowfall characteristics, and conducting simulations for a future time slice to assess potential changes in extreme precipitation characteristics over Alaska.

### Acknowledgments

This research is funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and sponsored by the National Science Foundation. Computing resources (ark:/85065/d7wd3xhc) on NCAR's Yellowstone system were granted via an NCAR Strategic Capability allocation, and provided by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the National Science Foundation and other agencies.