







# Back-trajectory Based Methods for Source Parameter Estimation

22 February, 2012

Andrew Annunzio<sup>1</sup>, Paul E. Bieringer<sup>1</sup>, George Bieberbach<sup>1</sup>, Ian Sykes<sup>2</sup>, Sue Ellen Haupt<sup>1</sup> and George Young<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder CO, USA <sup>2</sup>Sage Management, Princeton NJ, USA <sup>3</sup>The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, USA



### **Overview of Inverse AT&D Frameworks**

- Eulerian
  - Model entire concentration field  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = F(u, u_i, C)$
- Lagrangian
  - Lagrangian particle modeling

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{dx_i}{dt} = f\left(x_i, u_i\right)$$

Lagrangian puff modeling

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{d\overline{x_i}}{dt} = \iiint_{\Omega} C u_i \, d\Omega$$









- Basic backward trajectory approach
  - Pure Lagrangian particle trajectory from reversed winds
  - Source determined through particle clustering
- Reverse Eulerian approach
  - Winds reversed
  - Forward model run on reversed winds
  - Source determined in post-processing analysis
- Reverse Eulerian/Lagrangian puff formulation
  - Fit a puff model to sensor observations
  - Determine when spread approaches zero along puff trajectory to infer source location
- Summary



- Basic backward trajectory approach
  - Pure Lagrangian particle trajectory from reversed winds
  - Source determined through particle clustering
- Reverse Eulerian approach
  - Winds reversed
  - Forward model run on reversed winds
  - Source determined in post-processing analysis
- Reverse Eulerian/Lagrangian puff formulation
  - Fit a puff model to sensor observations
  - Determine when spread approaches zero along puff trajectory to infer source location
- Summary





- Track contaminant filled fluid parcel trajectories backwards in time
- Reverse parcel trajectory originates at the observation location







(Methodology Description)

- Assuming a passive tracer, either numerical weather prediction output or wind observations determine the reverse parcel trajectory
- The source location is inferred from parcel convergence







#### (Strengths and Weaknesses)

- Strengths
  - Can naturally handle multiple release events
  - Approach does not match concentration observations (no optimization necessary)
- Weaknesses
  - Extensive weather observations or high resolution NWP needed for successful source term estimation
  - Not a natural method to determine the source mass
  - Faulty estimates if the flow field in reverse time is divergent





- Basic backward trajectory approach
  - Pure Lagrangian particle trajectory from reversed winds
  - Source determined through particle clustering
- Reverse Eulerian approach
  - Winds reversed
  - Forward model run on reversed winds
  - Source determined in post-processing analysis
- Reverse Eulerian/Lagrangian puff formulation
  - Fit a puff model to sensor observations
  - Determine when spread approaches zero along puff trajectory to infer source location
- Summary













 This Reverse Eulerian approach using SCIPUFF is an informal adjoint technique

$$\left\langle \left(Lc,c^*\right)\right\rangle = \left\langle \left(c,L^*c^*\right)\right\rangle$$
 where...

Lc is related to the source response function, and

 $L^*c^*$  is related to the observations at the sensor locations

- The complexity of SCIPUFF makes calculation of a formal adjoint difficult
- Thus SCIPUFF is run in reverse mode to provide a 'retroplume'



(Methodology Description)







#### (Methodology Description)







#### (Methodology Description)







#### (Methodology Description)









(Post-Processing to Determine Source Term Parameters)

- Source parameter estimate
  - The reverse SCIPUFF calculations produce a hazard area where the source is likely to be located



For a source location we can obtain a mass estimate of the contaminant release for each retroplume

$$Q_i = \frac{d_i}{c_i^*}(x_r)$$

Consistency amongst the mass estimates provides insight on the source location



#### (Example with ETEX data)



Source Location Estimate Using Only Sensor Hits

#### Source Location Estimate Using Sensor Hits and Null Hits



From Sykes 2006





(Strengths and Weaknesses)

- Strengths
  - Practical, robust framework for source term estimation
  - Can readily handle multiple contaminant release events
  - Approach also does not require minimization of a functional
  - Works seamlessly across a wind range of meteorological scales
- Weaknesses
  - Large computational effort is needed for
    - Dense sensor arrays
    - Long duration release
  - High resolution NWP or meteorological observations are needed, however, not as dense as the traditional Lagrangian approach
  - Sensitive to faulty measurements



- Basic backward trajectory approach
  - Pure Lagrangian particle trajectory from reversed winds
  - Source determined through particle clustering
- Reverse Eulerian approach
  - Winds reversed
  - Forward model run on reversed winds
  - Source determined in post-processing analysis
- Reverse Eulerian/Lagrangian puff formulation
  - Fit a puff model to sensor observations
  - Determine when spread approaches zero along puff trajectory to infer source location
- Summary







 Isolates main STE variables; the contaminant mass, axis and spread



- Determine these variables from contaminant concentration observations
- Determine evolution of these variables in reverse time
- Determine the location where the spread approaches zero



# **Eulerian/Lagrangian Puff**



(Source Estimation Process)

- Optimization: Determine mass, location and spread of a puff from surface concentration observations
- Association: For multiple release locations associate locations and spread observations with a puff trajectory
- Estimation: Determine where the spread approaches zero along the puff trajectory





#### Example





NCAR/RAL - National Security Applications Program

PENNSTATE







#### (Continuous Release Example)







#### (Strengths and Weaknesses)

- Strengths
  - Isolates the variables most crucial for source term estimation (mass, location and spread)
  - Readily handles multiple release events
  - Can infer meteorological forcing variables if sufficient concentration data is present
  - Computationally efficient for single release events
- Weaknesses
  - Number of approximating functions constrained by the number of observation locations
  - Requires minimization between approximating function and the concentration observations
  - Complexity increases with multiple source locations, but still more manageable than when working with forward dispersion models



- Basic backward trajectory approach
  - Pure Lagrangian particle trajectory from reversed winds
  - Source determined through particle clustering
- Reverse Eulerian approach
  - Winds reversed
  - Forward model run on reversed winds
  - Source determined in post-processing analysis
- Reverse Eulerian/Lagrangian puff formulation
  - Fit a puff model to sensor observations
  - Determine when spread approaches zero along puff trajectory to infer source location

#### Summary



- Back trajectory methods seamlessly capture the source term estimation across multiple meteorological scales
- These methods are practical, and are easy to implement
- Information on the source mass requires work in the Eulerian reference frame