





Non-Parametric Data Assimilation for Ensemble Weather Prediction within the UFS

ALI3(LAURA)

Jonathan Poterjoy and Kenta Kurosawa

University of Maryland

Wednesday, 23rd August, 2023

Sponsoring awards: NOAA #NA22OAR4590184, #NA20OAR4600281, #NA19NES4320002

250-mb absolute vorticity for posterior member and 24-h forecast valid at same time.



250-mb absolute vorticity for posterior member and 24-h forecast valid at same time.





Gaussian DA-induced bias in KE spectrum

Average zonal Kinetic energy spectrum for single members:



One objective is to explore implications of replacing the EnKF with LPF for prediction systems that run EnVar.

Motivation:

- Most prediction systems rely on EnVar for practical reasons; e.g., use of a high-resolution deterministic "control."
- EnKF is typically used to update ensemble—to provide future background error covariance for EnVar.
- EnKF members are re-centered on EnVar analysis.

One objective is to explore implications of replacing the EnKF with LPF for prediction systems that run EnVar.

Motivation:



- i. Posterior tends to be closer to a Gaussian than the prior.
- ii. Re-centering posterior ensemble on Var analysis is okay, as long as the distribution is close to Gaussian.

← Var analysis alongside PF members following assimilation.

One objective is to explore implications of replacing the EnKF with LPF for prediction systems that run EnVar.

Motivation:



- iii. Incremental 3DVar/4DVar can solve moderately nonlinear DA problems through an outer loop (e.g., x on left).
- iv. Posterior targeted by Var is more consistent with PF than EnKF.
- ← Var analysis alongside **EnKF members**.

Combining particle filters with Var

DA comparisons:

- "EnKF-Var" ← HAFS ensemble updated with EnKF and Var
- "PF-Var" ← HAFS ensemble updated with LPF and Var

In both experiments, role of EnKF or LPF is to update 40 HAFS ensemble members about a variational analysis.

Verification:

- 10-member forecasts generated every 6 h for 2 weeks
- Storm features verified using NHC Best Track data
- Synoptic scale features verified using ERA5

Verification (2 weeks of forecasts)



 Currently testing with 2023 HAFS-A and HAFS-B; preliminary results shows similar improvements with LPF-Var.

Verification (2 weeks of forecasts)



 LPF will soon be applied for hourly-updated GFS (FY23 WPO Innovations for Community Modeling Competition).

Deterministic forecasts from Var

Domain-average RMSEs from ERA5





Localized particle filters now provide a feasible non-Gaussian option for NWP.

Tangible benefits over EnKF already seen in HAFS, despite decades of effort designing weather prediction systems around Gaussian methods.

Opens the door to new research: (i) *non-Gaussian likelihoods*, (ii) *novel measurements*, (iii) *replacing QC with appropriate choices for obs error distributions*, etc.



Kurosawa, K. and J. Poterjoy, 2022: A statistical hypothesis testing strategy for adaptively blending particle filters and ensemble Kalman filters for data assimilation, Mon. Wea. Rev. (published online).

Poterjoy, J., G. J. Alaka, Jr., and H. R. Winterbottom, 2021: The irreplaceable utility of sequential data assimilation for numerical weather prediction system development: Lessons learned from an experimental HWRF system. Wea. Forecasting, 36, 661 – 677.

Poterjoy, J. 2022a: Implications of multivariate non-Gaussian data assimilation for multi-scale weather prediction. Mon. Wea. Rev., In press.

Poterjoy, J., 2022b: Regularization and tempering for a moment-matching localized particle filter. Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., In press.