

Improving snow albedo modeling in Noah-MP v5 via coupling with a snow radiative transfer model

Tzu-Shun Lin NSF NCAR RAL HAP

June 3rd, 2024

Acknowledgements: Cenlin He, Ronnie Abolafia-Rosenzweig, Fei Chen, Wenli Wang, Michael Barlage, and David Gochis



Snow albedo modeling and bias

- Snow albedo scheme in Noah-MP is semi-physical
 - The Biosphere-Atmosphere Transfer scheme, BATS (Dickinson et al., 1993; Yang et al., 1997)
 - Direct and diffuse radiation over visible and near-infrared wave bands
 - Fresh snow albedo, variations in snow age, solar zenith angle, grain size growth, and impurity (dirt or soot on snow)
 - A Canadian land surface scheme for GCMS, CLASS (Verseghy, 1991)
 - Overall snow surface albedo accounting for fresh snow albedo and snow age
- Snow albedo and temperature bias
 - E.g., overestimated snow albedo in the Western United States (He et al., 2019) and underestimated the variability of fresh snow
 albedo (Abolafia-Rosenzweig et al., 2022)





(He et al., 2019)

SNICAR model

- The latest version of Snow, Ice, and Aerosol Radiative (SNICAR) model (Flanner et al., 2021)
 - Radiative transfer solver
 - More accurate
 - Different representations of ice optical properties
 - More realistic
 - Snow-radiation-aerosol interaction and feedback
 - Light-absorbing particles: black carbon, organic carbon, dust, volcanic ash, and snow algae
 - Internal/External snow mixing
 - Non-spherical snow grain (Spheroids, hexagonal plates, and Koch snowflakes)
 - More realistic
 - Hyperspectral calculations (480-band, 10-nm spectral resolution)
 - More realistic
- An old version of SNICAR transfer calculation has been coupled with an old version of Noah-MP LSM over Tibetan Plateau (Wang et al., 2020).







Objectives and Methods





Latest SNICAR model in CLM5

New Features and Enhancements in Community Land Model (CLM5) Snow Albedo Modeling: Description, Sensitivity, and Evaluation (He et al., 2024)



NoahMP-SNICAR model

New input variables

- Deposition flux (kg/m2/s)
 - hydrophilic black carbon
 - hydrophobic black carbon
 - hydrophilic organic carbon
 - hydrophobic organic carbon
 - mineral dust with five particle size bins (0.1-1.0, 1.0-2.5, 2.5-5.0, 5.0-10.0, and 10.0-100.0 μm in diameter)

New input optical properties (look-up table)

- snicar_optics_480bnd_c012422.nc
- snicar_optics_5bnd_c013122.nc

New input snow aging data

(look-up table)

snicar_drdt_bst_fit_60_c070416.nc

New processes

- SNICAR radiative transfer solver
- Snowpack heating on snow and soil temperature
- Snow-aerosol-radiation interactions
 - mass-conserving approach to account for the presence of light-absorbing particles within snowpack
- Snow grain growth and aging processes
 - wet and dry snow aging processes including liquid-water-induced metamorphism, dry snow metamorphism, refreezing of liquid water, and the addition of freshly fallen snow
 - vertical profiles of snow grain size



Multiple options in the namelist

SNICAR_BANDNUMBER_OPTION = 1

! number of wavelength bands used in SNICAR snow albedo calculation !**1->5 ! 2 -> 480

SNICAR SOLARSPEC OPTION = 1

! type of downward solar radiation spectrum for SNICAR snow albedo calculation

- ! **1 -> mid-latitude winter
- ! 2 -> mid-latitude summer
- 3 -> sub-Arctic winter
- 4 -> sub-Arctic summer
- ! 5 -> Summit, Greenland, summer
- ! 6 -> High Mountain summer

SNICAR SNOWOPTICS OPTION = 3

surface albedo [default = 1] ! snow optics type using different refractive index databases in SNICAR ! 1 -> Warren (1984) ! 2 -> Warren and Brandt (2008) ! **3 -> Picard et al (2016)

SNICAR DUSTOPTICS OPTION = 1

! dust optics type for SNICAR snow albedo calculation

- ! **1 -> Saharan dust (Balkanski et al., 2007, central hematite)
- ! 2 -> San Juan Mountains dust, CO (Skiles et al, 2017)
- ! 3 -> Greenland dust (Polashenski et al., 2015, central absorptivity)

SNICAR RTSOLVER OPTION = 2

! option for two different SNICAR radiative transfer solver ! 1 -> Toon et a 1989 2-stream (Flanner et al. 2007)

! **2 -> Adding-doubling 2-stream (Dang et al.2019)

SNICAR SNOWSHAPE OPTION = 3

! option for snow grain shape in SNICAR (He et al. 2017 JC)

- ! 1 -> sphere
- ! 2 -> spheroid
- ! **3 -> hexagonal plate
- 4 -> Koch snowflake

SNICAR USE AEROSOL = .true.

! option to turn on/off aerosol deposition flux effect in snow in SNICAR

- ! .false. -> without aerosol deposition flux effect
- ! **.true. -> with aerosol deposition flux effect

SNICAR SNOWBC INTMIX = .true.

! option to activate BC-snow internal mixing in SNICAR (He et al. 2017 JC) ! .false. -> external mixing for all BC ! **.true. -> internal mixing for hydrophilic BC

SNICAR SNOWDUST INTMIX = .true.

! option to activate dust-snow internal mixing in SNICAR (He et al. 2017 JC) ! .false. -> external mixing for all dust ! **.true. -> internal mixing for all dust

SNICAR_USE_OC = .true.

! option to activate OC in snow in SNICAR ! .false. -> without organic carbon in snow ! **.true. -> with organic carbon in snow

SNICAR AEROSOL READTABLE = .false.

! option to read aerosol deposition fluxes from table or not ! **.false. -> data read from NetCDF forcing file ! .true. -> data read from table



SNOW ALBEDO OPTION = 1

! options for ground snow

! **1 -> BATS

2 -> CLASS

! 3 -> SNICAR

Optimization of snow grain size

- MODIS snow covered area and grain size (MODSCAG; Painter et al, 2009; Rittger et al., 2020)
- Using daily 463-m MODSCAG data to evaluate and constrain snow grain size from NoahMP-SNICAR simulations

Parameters	Original	Optimized
Minimum values of freshly fallen snow grain size (µm)	54.526	33.0
Maximum values of freshly fallen snow grain size (µm)	204.526	91.0



- The original simulation of NoahMP-SNICAR grain size overestimates
- The **optimized** snow grain parameters matches well with the values obtained from MODSCAG



Evaluation of SNICAR and BATS against observations



 NoahMP-SNICAR slightly overestimated mean broadband albedo by about 0.072 mainly arise from the overestimated visible snow albedo by about 0.085 likely caused by the uncertainty in aerosol deposition flux and/or snow density



Evaluation of SNICAR and BATS against observations

ullet



- NoahMP-SNICAR improves the **temporal variability** of modeled snow albedo across different wavelength bands, with up to twofold higher correlation with observations than NoahMP-BATS
- Fresh snow albedo

 NoahMP-BATS: a constant

 parameter

 NoahMP-SNICAR:
 dynamically dependent on
 environmental and snowpack
 conditions

Effects of snow grain size, snow grain shape, and LAPs on albedo



- Smaller snow grain size increases snow albedo, more pronounced effect on the nearinfrared than the visible band
- Non-spherical snow grains scatter less strongly in the forward direction and more to the sides, more pronounced in the nearinfrared than the visible band
- Aerosol reduces albedo, more pronounced in the visible than the near-infrared band



Conclusions

- We enhance Noah-MP snow albedo processes by integrating physical snow radiative transfer and aging processes from the latest SNICAR model.
- Snow albedo evolution and variability exhibits superior performance in NoahMP-SNICAR version 5 compared to the default Noah-MP snow albedo scheme at three Rocky Mountain stations.
- Impacts of snow grain size, shape, and light-absorbing particles on snow albedo and radiative forcing are assessed in NoahMP-SNICAR version 5.

- NoahMP-SNICAR model code updates are publicly available: <u>https://github.com/tslin2/hrldas_snicar.git</u>
- NoahMP-SNICAR preprint: Tzu-Shun Lin, Cenlin He, Ronnie Abolafia-Rosenzweig, et al. Implementation and evaluation of SNICAR snow albedo scheme in Noah-MP (version 5.0) land surface model. ESS Open Archive . January 24, 2024. DOI: 10.22541/essoar.170612215.54848315/v1
- Email: tslin2@ucar.edu





Thank you!