## US Corn Belt Enhances Regional Precipitation Recycling

Zhe Zhang, Cenlin He, Fei Chen, Gonzalo Miguez Macho, Changhai Liu, Roy Rasmussen 2024/06/04





### Precipitation Recycling is an important component in the water cycle



Precipitation recycling (PR) ratio –

the contribution of local evapotranspiration to precipitation within a region

$$\rho = \frac{Prec_{local}}{Prec_{total}}$$

- characterize land surface impacts to the atmosphere (land-atmosphere interactions)
- Important implications for designing land use management
   and estimating water availability
- Particularly important for regions with large land-use change and human activities





# Extensive croplands and agricultural management in US Corn Belt have substantially modified regional climate



US is the largest corn producing nation in the world, accounting for 31% of global production

Increasing precipitation and cooling temperature in the US Corn Belt along with substantial crop yield and production growth in the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Alter et al., 2017)



### The United States's Corn Belt is making its own weather

Plentiful crops are changing rainfall and temperature trends

16 FEB 2018 · BY KIMBERLY HICKOK



Productive corn fields are responsible for unique weather changes in the central United States. ISTOCK/COMSEASTOCK

#### Research Question:

32°N

What are the contributions of crop growth and irrigation managements to regional climate? precipitation recycling?

3

### Various methods to estimate precipitation recycling ratio in Central US

 TABLE 1. Estimates of the ratio of recycled to total precipitation (RR) for the central United States estimated by different studies.

 Since RR depends on the area of analysis, we include the area of each study for comparison.

Recycling ratio	Time scale	Area	Method	Reference
		Monthly RR		
22%, 34%, 33%	Mean J, J, A	$20^{\circ} \text{ by } 10^{\circ} (2.4 \times 10^{6} \text{ km}^2)$	Budyko-type analytic model	Brubaker et al. (1993)
41% and 33%	April–July 1988 and 1993, respectively	$4  imes 10^6  ext{ km}^2$	Back-trajectory algorithm	Dirmeyer and Brubaker (1999)
30%, 39%, 35%	Mean J, J, A	20° by 10° (2.4 $\times$ 10 <sup>6</sup> km <sup>2</sup> )	Eltahir and Bras model	Bosilovich and Schubert (2001)
32%	Mean J, J, A	$4  imes 10^6  ext{ km}^2$	Back-trajectory algorithm	Brubaker et al. (2001)
14%	Average JJA	$15^{\circ} \text{ by } 10^{\circ} (1.8 \times 10^{6} \text{ km}^2)$	Water vapor tracers	Bosilovich and Schubert (2002)
25% (northern plains); 26% (southern plains)	Average JJA	10° by 8° (1 × 10 <sup>6</sup> km <sup>2</sup> ) and 10° by 12° (1.5 × 10 <sup>6</sup> km <sup>2</sup> )	Water vapor tracers	Bosilovich (2003)
From 19% to 24%	May, J, J, A	$1.23 \times 10^{6} \text{ km}^{2}$	Simple recycling equation	Zangvil et al. (2004)
18%	Average JJA	$1  imes 10^6 \ \mathrm{km^2}$	DRM	Dominguez et al. (2006)
32%, 35%, and 40%	J, J, and A	22° by 12° (3 $\times$ 10 <sup>6</sup> km <sup>2</sup> )	General bulk model	Burde et al. (2006)
2.2%, 6.2%, and 18.0%	Effective	$10^4, 10^5, 10^6$	Back-trajectory algorithm	Dirmeyer and Brubaker (2007)
		Daily RR		
From 15% to 30% 22%, 25%, 23%, 20%	May, J, J, A May, J, J, A	$1.23 \times 10^{6} \text{ km}^{2}$ 4 × 10 <sup>6</sup> km <sup>2</sup>	Simple recycling equation Water vapor tracers	Zangvil et al. (2004) Bosilovich and Chern (2006)

Dominguez and Kumar, 2008

Note: PR ratio depends on the area of analysis



(Dominguez et al. 2020)

- a) Analytical atmosphere water budget model simplified well-mixed atmosphere assumption
- b) Offline tracking model (Eulerian or Lagrangian)
- c) Online Water Vapor Tracer model (Insua-Costa & Miguez-Macho, 2018) most complex



### **WRF-Water Vapor Tracer**





Insua-Costa and Miguez-Macho 2018

WRF-WVT has the most complex representation of atmospheric processes, thus is treated as the truth.

The power of complex models is evident when analyzing individual cases/seasons where the local-scale processes are important.

Previous studies neglected complex land surface processes contributing to local ET



 $\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla q_n + v_q \cdot \nabla^2 q_n + \left(\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{PBL}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{microphysics}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_n}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{convection}},$ 



# 1. Shallow Groundwater-Soil Moisture Interactions depend on model resolution



Groundwater-soil moisture interactions depend on model resolution



No Groundwater model at 3-km



Coupling groundwater to LSM and RCM reduces summer warm biases

### 2. Crop growth and Irrigation management



Photosynthesis & Stomatal control



#### • WRF Domain setup:



- 20:Lake 19:Mixed Tundra 18:Wooded Tundra 17:Water 16:Barren or Sparsely Vegetated 15:Snow and Ice 14:Cropland/Natural Vegetation Mosaic - 13:Urban and Built-Up 12:Croplands 11:Permanent Wetlands 10:Grasslands 9:Savannas 8:Woody Savannas 7:Open Shrublands 6:Closed Shrublands 5:Mixed Forests
- 4:Deciduous Broadleaf Forest - 3:Deciduous Needleleaf Forest
- 2:Evergreen Broadleaf Forest
- 1:Evergreen Needleleaf Forest

- Simulation Design:
- 2010 (wet), 2011 (normal), 2012 (dry)
- Growing season: From **04-01 to 09-01** (first month spin-up, analyze MJJA)
- 4-km grid spacing (convection-permitting)
- No spectral nudging
- Four simulations with different land surface processes:

Black outline: Corn Belt region Hatched region: Irrigation Zhang et al. 2024 (in revision)

Simulation	Groundwater interaction	Vegetation Dynamics	Irrigation Management
1. Baseline (NoGW)	Free Drainage	Prescribed MODIS monthly LAI	No
2. GW	MMF groundwater model	Prescribed MODIS monthly LAI	No
3. DynCrop (GW+Crop)	MMF groundwater model	Dynamic Crop Model	No
4. Irrigation (GW+Crop+Irr)	MMF groundwater model	Dynamic Crop Model	Yes



#### **Coupled GW+Crop+Irrigation system - cooling temperatures and enhancing precipitation**

MJJA temperature





#### MJJA precipitation





With Coupled GW-Crop-Irrigation, warm summer warm and dry bias significantly improved (dotted and stars)



#### **Precipitation Recycling Ratio - Spatial Gradient & Temporal evolution**





#### **Enhanced Precipitation Recycling explained by water balance**



### Interannual variability of precipitation recycling: moisture advection vs local ET



$$IVT = \frac{1}{g} \left[ \left( \int_{surface}^{top} qudp \right)^2 + \left( \int_{surface}^{top} qvdp \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

Strong interannual variability:

(1) strongest in 2010 (wet year) and weakest in 2012 (dry year)

(2) Largest local contribution in 2012 (dry) and least in 2010 (wet)



#### Earth System Modeling - Groundwater-Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Continuum



## **Future work**

**Cropland ET contributions to individual storm events** 



<sup>07-01 07-02 07-03 07-04 07-05 07-06 07-07 07-08 07-09 07-10 07-11</sup> 



07-01 07-02 07-03 07-04 07-05 07-06 07-07 07-08 07-09 07-10 07-11



# **On-going work:** What are the moisture contribution to mesoscale convection storms?



<sup>07-01 07-02 07-03 07-04 07-05 07-06 07-07 07-08 07-09 07-10 07-11</sup> 





### **Discussion & Conclusion**

- First time coupling the GW-Crop-Irrigation system in the convection-permitting model
- WVT reveals insight of precipitation recycling in details

Hourly Integrated Water Vapor from Tracer



- (1) GW-Crop-Irrigation induce strong cooling effects on temperature and increase precipitation
- (2) Enhanced precipitation were originated from croplands in US Corn Belt through precipitation recycling (14~18%)
- (3) Three processes contribute differently:
- *GW* supplies soil moisture from below
- Crop effectively transport soil moisture to the atmosphere
- Irrigation adds additional water from top
- (4) Strong interannual variability strongest in 2012 dry year (from 11~18%) when large-scale moisture advection (LLJ from Gulf of Mexico) is weak

