

# FOREST SERVICE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

MEASURING OUTCOMES AND BUILDING EVIDENCE

09.07.2017



for the greatest good

# PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

## Strategic Planning

### PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Vital but few performance goals to assess our organizational progress towards our Strategic Plan

### ANALYTICS

Harnessing our vast natural resource and administrative data to unlock insights that drive decision making

### EVALUATION

Focused studies to help identify the true impact of our work

Types of Evidence



## **KEY MESSAGES:**

- **DRIVE PERFORMANCE, NOT REPORTING**
- **DEMONSTRATE PROGRESS TOWARDS A DEFINED END POINT**
- **BUILD EVIDENCE**
- **LEARN, ADAPT, COMMUNICATE, REPEAT**

# CONCEPTUAL EVIDENCE PORTFOLIO

| Type of Evidence            | Evidence   | Notes   |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| <u>Outcome</u>              | % of watersheds in good condition  | Overall condition of 'FS' watersheds; how much do we have left to do; opportunity to describe other factors affecting condition (give or take $8200/15200=54\%$ ) |
| <u>Management outcome</u>   | - % of <u>priority</u> watersheds in good condition  | ( $X/250 = X\%$ )   |
| <u>Output Measures</u>      | # of watersheds improved<br># of watersheds maintained in good condition   | Reflects management action in priority watersheds (i.e., implementation of WRAP)  |
| <u>Programmatic outcome</u> | % of priority watersheds with WRAP   |   |
| <u>Programmatic output</u>  | # of WRAPs developed annually  |   |
| <u>Anecdotal</u>            | Success stories - Monitoring data shows us that after right sizing culverts and planting trees to create shade pools we are seeing more/larger salmonids |   |
| <u>Evaluations</u>          | Program – are we implementing the WCF consistently?<br>Impact – are we having an impact on the watershed?  |   |
| <u>Efficiency</u>           | Reduce time to develop WRAPs. Reduce time to complete WRAPs.   |   |
| <u>Strategic Plans</u>      | Specific goals/objectives are identified.  |   |

# CONCEPTUAL EVIDENCE PORTFOLIO:

- Outcome - % of watersheds in good condition
  - (~8200/15200 = 54%)
  - Opportunity to show the other factors that are influencing at the national level
- Programmatic outcome - % of priority watersheds in good condition ( $X/250 = X\%$ )
- Annual Output Measure - # of watersheds improved
  - Reflect management action in priority watersheds (i.e., implementation of WRAP)
- Output Measure - # of watersheds maintained in good condition
- Management outcome - % of priority watersheds with WRAP
- Management output - # of WRAPs developed annually
- Success stories
  - Monitoring data shows us that after right sizing culverts and planting trees to create shade pools we are seeing more/larger Stream X and
- Evaluations
  - Program – are we implementing the WCF consistently?
  - IMPACT – are we actually influencing watersheds health

# **My KEY MESSAGES:**

- Demonstrate progress towards an end point
  - From a budget and policy perspective- this is setting your goal and establishing priorities to achieve that goal

