

GHP Score Card...

- Good shape
- In Progress
- Remains to be addressed

RHP Criteria*	US-RHP Status
1. A science plan should state the central science and applications questions to be addressed by the RHP and proposed tasks to achieve it	 Plan completed (Ver.1, June 2023) Working to align with program agencies
2. A coordination mechanism (e.g., a Science Steering Group or equivalent) that includes a GEWEX contact (e.g., SSG chair, or project coordinator).	 Project leadership: Schneider, Tessendorf, van Oevelen Working Group Leads: our scientific advisory group Affinity Group: an active, diverse, interdisciplinary community Actively working to broaden and diversify GEWEX Contact: Director, IGPO Web presence actively being developed
3. Adequate resources and personnel with potential sources of funding or existing funding identified.	 Resources/Personnel: Supported by NCAR/RAL and small seed funds to support development (GMU; NCAR) – not sustainable over the long run Ongoing since 2019 – numerous Federal Agencies engaged
4. A end date and an exit plan that includes a science and applications synthesis and data archival procedure.	 Planned as a 10-year project To be explicitly defined in the Implementation Plan
5. A mechanism for collecting, managing, and providing access to hydroclimatological data sets with participation in the international exchange of scientific information and data.	 RHP "Hub" (or a Testbed); Digital Earth of the U.S. (DEUS) Depends in part on funding mechanisms Specifics to be fleshed out in Implementation Plan

^{*}RHP Criteria at the outset of a project (Francina Dominguez to AG; 7/15/2021)

Requesting Initiating RHP Status

- Begin with Grassroots approach; seek support/funds to...
 - Establish a project office
 - Plan and execute workshop(s)
 - Develop an Implementation Plan
- Work with program agencies to support and sustain the RHP over the long-run
 - All options on the table
- Come up with a better name

