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Motivation

- Alaska has warmed during the recent observational record and is projected to keep warming through the end of the 21st century
  - Extensive observed and projected warming under RCP8.5
- This will drive continued thawing of permafrost, alter the partitioning of rain and snow, and may have potentially large impacts for the water cycle
- Previous analyses of these impacts have relied on GCM or relatively coarse RCM output and are limited in complex topography
- Relatively high-resolution (~4-6 km) needed to be relevant for mesoscale hydrology and reasonable depiction of orographic-atmosphere processes
WRF Configuration

• 1 Sept 2002 – 31 August 2016
  ▫ **4 km grid spacing**
  ▫ ERA-I ICs and BCs
  ▫ No spectral nudging
  ▫ NASA hi-res sea surface temps (SSTs)
• WRF 3.7.1
  ▫ Thompson microphysics
  ▫ Noah-MP with modifications for improved snow simulations
• Pseudo Global Warming (PGW) approach
  ▫ End of century CMIP5 ensemble mean
• Analysis for 10 Water Years (WY) 2003-2012
  ▫ PGW simulation through early 2014
Historical Validation - Precipitation

- WRF overestimates in Central and Northern Alaska
  - Observations may be flawed
    - Extremely sparse and undercatch likely
- Possible underestimation along SE mountains
  - Scale mismatch between observational grid and WRF
  - Observations are based on very coarse CRU gridded anomalies
Historical Validation - Snow

- Noah—MP LSM reproduces seasonal snow except for melt period
  - Melt is too fast in Noah-MP
Historical Validation - An Aside for a Path Forward

- Understand and improve obs product generation

- Acknowledge uncertainty

Newman et al. 2018, in prep
PGW Modifications

- Sea ice concentration (SIC) and SST need care in PGW simulation specification
  - Classic PGW perturbation leads to unrealistic SIC
  - Also unrealistic SST (skin temperature) perturbation in grid points that are ice free in the future
PGW Modifications

- Near surface ocean temperature for SST perturbation
- CMIP5 ensemble median SIC
  - SIC years with same rank (e.g. greatest current climate SIC corresponds to greatest future SIC year in PGW simulation)
  - Maintains current climate annual variability with realistic future SIC
  - Generally consistent with PGW methodology
PGW - Current Climate

- **Temperature**
  - Mean 2-m temperature increase similar to initial CMIP5 perturbation
    - Brooks Range more resistant to warming due to persistent snow
  - Yearly (one day) $T_{\text{max}}$ increases less than input perturbations in many regions
    - Snowpack, smaller SST increases
  - Yearly $T_{\text{min}}$ increases by extreme amounts
    - Largest increases coincident in and around areas of sea ice loss
- Total precipitation increases across domain
  - Expected result
- Total snowfall similar or slight decreases in most areas
  - Increases in coldest regions
- Decreases in snowfall fractional contribution
PGW – Current Climate

• **Snow water equivalent (SWE)**
  ▫ Broad areas of decline and gain
  ▫ Elevation (or climate) dependent changes
    • Normalized by historical mean SWE
  ▫ Northern vs Southern Alaska
    • Increases in Northern Alaska across nearly all elevations
    • Increases only in highest terrain in Southern Alaska
  ▫ Similar to other domains
Summary

- **Historical and PGW simulation set for Alaska is nearly complete**
  - Historical is complete and published – Monaghan et al. (2018) in JAMC
  - WRF is able to recreate historical climate and most features of snowpack
    - Early melt is most significant departure

- **PGW simulation highlights**
  - Increases in precipitation domain wide
  - Yearly minimum temperatures increase sharply
  - Decreases in snowfall fractional contribution
  - SWE decreases where PGW perturbation shifts climate state to more melt than additional accumulation and vice versa