WRF-Hydro Modeling System: Physics Components



D. Gochis, W. Yu, D. Yates, K. Sampson, A. Dugger, J. McCreight, M. Barlage, A. RafieeiNasab, L. Karsten, L. Read, L. Pan, Y. Zhang, M. McAllister, J. Mills, K. FitzGerald, R. Cabell

National Center for Atmospheric Research

- Basic Concepts
- Conceptualization of WRF-Hydro
- Model Architecture & Requirements

• Linking the column structure of land surface models with the 'distributed' structure of hydrological models in a flexible, HPC architecture....





• Atmospheric coupling perspective and serving the WRF research and forecasting and CESM communities

- Oriented towards existing NCAR-supported community models, but expanding:
 - Not fully genericized coupling which has pros/cons associated...
 - Also aimed at cluster & HPC architectures



Runoff and Routing Physics:

Overland Flow



Lateral Subsurface Flow



Simplified Baseflow Parameterization



Channel Hydraulics



Simple Water Management



WRF-Hydro Physics Permutations

| | | WRF-Hydro Options | Current NWM Configuration |
|---|--|---|---|
| Column Land Surface Model | | <u>3 up-to-date column land</u> <u>models</u> : Noah, NoahMP (w/ built-in multi-physics options), Sac-HTET | NoahMP |
| Overland Flow Module | Algred Film: Algred Film: Algred Film: | <u>3 surface routing schemes</u> : diffusive wave, kinematic wave, direct basin aggregation | Diffusive wave |
| Lateral Subsurface Flow Module | Surface Edification from Saturated Soli Columns | 2 subsurface routing scheme: Boussinesq shallow saturated flow, 2d aquifer model | Boussinesq shallow saturated flow |
| Conceptual Baseflow Parameterizations | | 2 groundwater schemes: direct aggregation storage-release: pass-throug or exponential model | h Exponential model |
| Channel Routing/ Hydraulics | $\begin{array}{c} \Delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ $ | <u>5 channel flow schemes</u> : diffusive wave kinematic wave, RAPID, custom-network Muskingum or Muskingum-Cunge | , Custom-network (NHDPlus) Muskingum- Cunge model |
| Lake/Reservoir Management | $\xrightarrow{h(t)} h(t)$ | <u>1 lake routing scheme</u> : level- pool management | Level-pool management |

Current Land Surface Models:

- Column physics & land-atmosphere exchange



Noah LSM & Noah-MP

NoahMP Column Physics:

Noah-MP contains several options for land surface processes:

- 1. Dynamic vegetation/vegetation coverage (4 options)
- 2. Canopy stomatal resistance (2 options)
- 3. Canopy radiation geometry (3 options)
- 4. Soil moisture factor for stomatal resistance (3 options)
- 5. Runoff and groundwater (4 options)
- 6. Surface layer exchange coefficients (4 options)
- 7. Supercooled soil liquid water/ice fraction (2 options)
- 8. Frozen soil permeability options (2 options)
- 9. Snow surface albedo (2 options)
- 10. Rain/snow partitioning (3 options)
- 11. Lower soil boundary condition (2 options)
- 12. Snow/soil diffusion solution (2 options)

Total of ~50,000 permutations can be used as multiphysics ensemble members



Noah/NoahMP development lead by M. Barlage and F. Chen, NCAR

• Multi-scale aggregation/disaggregation:



Terrain Routing



Surface Routing



- Pixel-to-pixel routing
 - Steepest descent or 2d
 - Diffusive wave/backwater permitting
 - Explicit solution
- Ponded water (surface head) is fullyinteractive with land model
- Sub-grid variability of ponded water on routing grid is preserved between land model calls

Surface Routing: Key Settings and Parameters

| Parameter/Setting | Description | Scale/File | Estimate | |
|-------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Runtime Settings | | | | |
| OVRTSWCRT | Overland routing physics switch (on/off) | hydro.namelist | Landscape/event, compute resources (computationally intensive) | |
| DTRT_TER | Overland routing timestep | hydro.namelist | Based on grid size, landscape/event | |
| Parameters | | | | |
| TOPOGRAPHY | Land surface elevation; routing based on elevation+head gradient | Routing grid (Fulldom) | Various sources | |
| OV_ROUGH2D | Overland roughness (Manning's n for land) | LSM grid (hydro2dtbl) | Estimated based on land cover type | |
| OVROUGHRTFAC | Multiplier on overland roughness | Routing grid (Fulldom) | Calibrated | |
| RETDEPRTFAC | Multiplier on maximum retention depth on surface before overland flow processes are initiated | Routing grid (Fulldom) | Calibrated (internally scaled based on topographic slope) | |

Subsurface Routing in v5



Adapted from: Wigmosta et. al, 1994

- Quasi steady-state, Boussinesq saturated flow model
- Exfiltration from fully-saturated soil columns
- Anisotropy in vertical and horizontal Ksat
- No 'perched' flow
- Soil depth is uniform
- Critical initialization value: water table depth

Subsurface Routing: Key Settings and Parameters

| Parameter/Setting | Description | Scale/File | Estimate |
|-------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| Runtime Settings | | | |
| SUBRTSWCRT | Subsurface routing physics switch (on/off) | hydro.namelist | Landscape/event |
| NOAH_TIMESTEP | LSM timestep | namelist.hrldas | Landscape/event |
| Parameters | | | |
| TOPOGRAPHY | Land surface elevation; routing based on elevation+head gradient | Routing grid (Fulldom) | Various sources |
| LKSAT | Lateral saturated hydraulic conductivity | LSM grid (hydro2dtbl) | Estimated based on soil texture class |
| LKSATFAC | Multiplier on lateral conductivity | Routing grid (Fulldom) | Calibrated |
| SMCMAX1 | Soil porosity | LSM grid (hydro2dtbl) | Estimated based on soil texture class; calibrated |
| SMCREF1 | Soil field capacity | LSM grid (hydro2dtbl) | Estimated based on soil texture class; calibrated |

Runoff and Routing Physics: Deep Groundwater

Conceptual groundwater baseflow "bucket" model:

- Simple pass-through or 2-parameter exponential model
- Bucket discharge gets distributed to channel network



Subsurface Routing in v5

- 2d groundwater model
- Coupled to bottom of LSM soil column through Darcy-flux parameterization
- Independent hydraulic characteristics vs. soil column
- Full coupling to gridded channel model through assumed channel depth and channel head
- Detailed representation of wetlands



Surface ponded water from coupled groundwater in WRF-Hydro B. Fersch, KIT, Germany

Deep Groundwater: Key Settings and Parameters

| Parameter/Setting | Description | Scale/File | Estimate | |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Runtime Settings | | | | |
| GWBASESWCRT | Baseflow bucket model switch (pass-through, exp, off) | hydro.namelist | Landscape/event | |
| NOAH_TIMESTEP | LSM timestep | namelist.hrldas | Landscape/event | |
| Parameters | | | | |
| GWBASINS/spatialweights | Groundwater "basins" | LSM (GWBASINS) or routing grid (spatialweights) | Landscape | |
| slope | "Openness" of bottom soil column boundary | LSM grid (soil_properties) | Calibrated | |
| Coeff | Coefficient in exponential bucket equation | Bucket objects (GWBUCKPARM) | Calibrated | |
| Expon | Exponent in exponential bucket equation | Bucket objects (GWBUCKPARM) | Estimated based on soil texture class; calibrated | |
| Zmax | Maximum bucket depth | Bucket objects (GWBUCKPARM) | Estimated based on soil texture class; calibrated | |

Channel Routing



Channel routing: Gridded vs. Reach-based





- Solution Methods:
 - Gridded: 1-d diffusive wave: fully-unsteady, explicit, finite-difference
 - Reach: Muskingum, Muskingum-Cunge (much faster)
- Parameters:
 - A priori function of Strahler order
 - Trapezoidal channel (bottom width, side slope)



No Flow



NHDPlus Reach Channel Network



Optional conceptual 'Bucket' models:

- Used for continuous (vs. event) prediction
- Simple pass-through or 2-parameter exponential model
- Bucket discharge gets distributed to channel network



Optional lake/reservoir model:

- Level-pool routing (i.e. no lagging of wave or gradient in pool elevation)
- Inflows via channel and overland flow
- Discharge via orifice and spillway to channel network
- Parameters: lake and orifice elevations, max. pool elevation, spillway and orifice characteristics; specified via parameter table
- Active management can be added via an operations table
- Presently no seepage or evaporative loss functions



Lakes & Reservoirs



WRF-Hydro V5.0 Physics Components : Lake/Reservoir Represenation

- Defined in GIS Pre-processing, integrated with channel hydrograph
- Specified spillway characteristics (length, height)



- Level Pool Scheme:
- 3 'passive' discharge mechanisms:
 - Orifice flow
 - Spillway flow
 - Direct Pass-through
- Development:
 - Basic thermodynamics (CLM/WRF lake model)
 - Full lake accounting
 - Evaporation
 - Ice formation
 - Inflows/outflows
 - Simple management
 - Coupling to FVCOM (GLERL)

Implementing lakes and reservoirs in WRF-Hydro

Visualization of lake impacts



WRF-Hydro Model Architecture



 Model physics components....

- Multi-scale components....
 - Rectilinear regridding
 - ESMF regridding
 - Downscaling

WRF-Hydro Model Architecture



Two-way ('coupled') \leftrightarrow



- Modes of operation..1-way vs.
 2-way
- Model forcing and feedback components:
 - Forcings: T, Press, Precip., wind, radiation, humidity, BGC-scalars
 - Feedbacks: Sensible, latent, momentum, radiation, BGC-scalars

Routing Options

| Туре | When/Why To Use | Benefits | Drawbacks |
|--|--|--|--|
| Subsurface Routing | | | |
| SUBRTSWCRT | When local topography is important to flow processes or your fluxes/states of interest | Allows lateral water movement between cells, better representing convergence/divergence patterns (e.g., water converging into a valley) and residence times | More computationally expensive |
| Overland Flow Routing | | | |
| OVRTSWCRT | When fast surface flow processes are of interest/importance (e.g., flood forecasting vs. water supply forecasting) | Better represents local ponding and re- infiltration; required to capture land runoff directly to channels and lakes | More computationally expensive |
| Channel Routing | | | |
| CHANRTSWCRT | When you want streamflow in the channel | | |
| Muskingham- Reach channel_option = 1 | When you want an approximate solution as efficiently as possible (e.g., over a large domain or with limited compute resources) | Computationally cheap and fast | Limited to uniform fluxes/states per reach (not ideal if reaches are long); no backwater effects |
| Muskingham-Cunge- Reach channel_option = 2 | When you want an approximate solution as efficiently as possible (e.g., over a large domain or with limited compute resources) | Computationally cheap and fast; more "stable" in terms of propagating flow one-way down the channel | Limited to uniform fluxes/states per reach (not ideal if reaches are long); no backwater effects |
| Diffusive Wave- Gridded channel_option = 3 | When you need a more precise/accurate local solution and have sufficient compute resources (e.g., small or high-resolution domains, conditions where hydraulic processes are important) | Captures backwater flow; provides higher spatial detail on channel flow (e.g., every channel grid cell); only option that allows (limited) water fluxes from land to lake | More computationally expensive, can be sensitive to parameters and internal time steps |



WRF-Hydro: http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/wrf_hydro/